

# An empirical model of Harold Jr. Empirical IO problem set

Paul T. Scott  
Toulouse School of Economics

Winter 2015

Consider the dynamic optimization problem of Harold Jr., who manages a larger fleet of buses and has to deal with a more volatile market than his father.

For each bus ( $i$ ) in each month ( $t$ ), Harold Jr. must decide whether to replace the bus's engine ( $j_{it} = 1$ ) or perform regular maintenance ( $j_{it} = 0$ ). He has the following current-period utility function:

$$u(j_{it}, x_{it}, \varepsilon_{it}) = \begin{cases} -\theta_1 x_{it} + \varepsilon_{0it} & \text{if } j_{it} = 0 \\ -\theta_2 rc_t + \varepsilon_{1it} & \text{if } j_{it} = 1 \end{cases}$$

where  $x_t \in \{1, 2, \dots, 7\}$  is the bus's mileage,  $rc_t$  is the price of a replacement bus engine, and the  $\varepsilon$ 's are i.i.d. with a type-1 extreme value distribution.

Mileage evolves according to the following process:

$$x_{i,t+1} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j_{it} = 1 \\ \min(x_t + 1, 7) & \text{if } j_{it} = 0. \end{cases}$$

From Harold Jr.'s point of view,  $rc_t$  evolves exogenously according to the following process:

$$rc_t = \rho_0 + \rho_1 rc_{t-1} + e_t.$$

where  $e_t$  is normally distributed with standard deviation  $\sigma_\rho$ .

Harold Jr. has a discount factor  $\beta = .95$  and acts to maximize expected discounted utility,  $E[\sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \beta^t u(j_{it}, x_{it}, \varepsilon_{it})]$ . He has rational expectations.

1. Estimate  $(\theta, \rho, \sigma_\rho)$  using a nested fixed point algorithm.
2. Estimate  $(\theta, \rho, \sigma_\rho)$  without solving the value function in the estimation algorithm but relying on the Hotz-Miller inversion.
3. Estimate  $\theta$  without solving the value function in the estimation algorithm and without any assumptions on how replacement costs evolve (i.e., estimate  $\theta$  without using any estimate of  $(\rho, \sigma_\rho)$ ).

The (simulated) data set includes decisions for 1000 buses observed over 100 months. The variables in the data should be self-explanatory:  $i$ ,  $t$ ,  $j$ ,  $x$ , and  $rc$ .

Hints:

- You should discretize the state space for  $\rho$  to make solving the value function in 1 tractable, and to facilitate the computation of an integral based on the process for  $\rho$  in 1-2.
- For 2-3, you will need (smoothed) estimates of choice probabilities. For 2, you can estimate choice probabilities as a flexible function of  $rc$  and  $x$ . For 3, you should estimate choice probabilities for each  $t$  as a flexible function of  $x$ .
- Use whatever software you like. It might help to look at Rust's original code and data: [http://gemini.econ.umd.edu/jrust/nfxp\\_description.html](http://gemini.econ.umd.edu/jrust/nfxp_description.html).

Please turn in the following via email to [ptscott@gmail.com](mailto:ptscott@gmail.com):

- A brief explanation of the steps involved in each estimation algorithm for 1-3, emphasizing the differences between each. Be clear about what objective function you are using.
- A table listing the point estimates for  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$  from each estimation 1-3, and the length of time your algorithm for each estimation took.
- Your code.